PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031

THE WAR	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Pacework Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.									
Under the Pap	erwork Red	oction Act of 1995.	no persons	Application Number		9/480,013	Hatter amount			
TRANSMITTAL FORM				Filing Date January 10, 20		2000				
				First Named Inventor Sharat Su		harat Subra	bramaniyam Chander			
				Art Unit	26	682				
de la			filina)	Examiner Name Charles R. Craver			craver			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filin			g/	Attorney Docket Number Chander 6-5						
Total Number of Pages in This Submission										
			ENC	LOSURES (Che	eck all tha	at apply)	After	Allowance Cor	nmunication to TC	
Fee Transmittal Form			📙 ,	Drawing(s)						
Fee Attached			<u></u> ι	Licensing-related Papers			Appe of Ap	al Communica peals and Inter	tion to Board rferences	
Amendment/Reply				Petition Petition to Convert to a			(App	ppeal Communication to TC Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) roprietary Information		
After Final				Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation			一	s Letter		
Affidavits/declaration(s)			Change of Correspondence Address			Othe	r Enclosure(s)	(please Identify		
Extension of Time Request			Terminal Discianter			Delo	•	etting response		
Express Abandonment Request			닏					ruary 25, 2005		
Information Disclosure Statement			<u>ا</u> لــا إ	CD, Number of CD(s)						
				Landscape Table	on CD					
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)			Rema	rks						
	Missing Pa	arts/								
	te Applicate to Mis	tion ssing Parts								
		FR 1.52 or 1.53	}							
		SIGNA	TURE (OF APPLICANT, A	ATTOR	NEY, O	R AGENT			
Firm Name	Law Offi	ces of Walter w.	E Žuft			-				
Signature	Signature ////////////////////////////////////									
Printed name Walter W. Duft							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Date February 25, 2005				Reg. No. 31,948						
(CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING									
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:										
Signature ////////////////////////////////////										
Typed or printed name Walter W. Duft			1000				Date	February 25	5, 2005	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

NITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FEB 2 8 2005

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CHANDER6-5 09/480,019 PADE 01/10/2000 SHARAT SUBRAMANIYAM CHANDER

CONFIRMATION NO.

3803

44190

7590

WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221

LAW OFFICES OF WALTER W. DUFT

WALTER W. DUFT

8616 MAIN ST

SUITE 2

02/09/2005

EXAMINER

CRAVER, CHARLES R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2682

DATE MAILED: 02/09/200

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
FEB 2 8 2005 Advisory Action	09/480,013	CHANDER ET AL.						
FEB 2 8 2000 (4)	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Charles R Craver	2682						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: .								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached sheet.								
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								
Potent and Trademost Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/480,013

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10-25-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertion that Hansson in view of Hult and Ross fails to render obvious the instant invention of e.g. amended claim 1. As to the provision of the teleservice payload size to the entity directly serving the MS, Hult suggests such a system as improving bandwidth usage; the use of messaging from a database to said entity is taught by Ross with an emphasis on eliminating extra steps in the messaging system. Note that Hult discloses that the indication of maximum teleservice message size is received from another entity in the system in col 3 line 66-col 4 line 13, where it is stated that the indicator of the maximum size (load) is determined at one entity and used in another entity of the network for formatting messages. As stated in applicant's arguments, the MSC uses the information. However, the information is used, as stated above, for limiting the size of messages in the same manner as Hansson. As such, the combined invention contemplates a payload system where the indication of payload is received at a serving entity from another portion of the network, and standard messages are used to convey the data; such would be an obvious combination, given the scope of standard messaging at the time of the invention in the art, and the small amount of data used to convey said payload size.

Application/Control Number: 09/480,013

Art Unit: 2682

Conclusion

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 for both formal and informal/draft communications, labeled as such.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza II, 200 South 20th St, Arlington VA, first floor.

Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Charles Craver at (703) 305-3965.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached at (703) 308-6739.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-4700.

CC

C.Craver

January 28, 2005

CHARLES CRAVER